



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/728,043	043 12/01/2000		Dan Anthony Balogh	4-4-4-18	2578
22046	7590	06/30/2004	EXAMINER		
		LOGIES INC.	LY, NGHI H		
DOCKET A 101 CRAWI		TRATOR ORNER ROAD - R	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	HOLMDEL, NJ 07733			2686	13
				DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•							
Office Action Summany	09/728,043	BALOGH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Nghi H. Ly	2686					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of 15 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ap	oril 2004.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the option of the contract of the option of the op	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	A) The land of the control of the co	(PTO 412)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2686

'n

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: The drawing filed 04/05/2004, page 1, discloses a <u>DSC 14</u>, however, Applicant's specification page 4, line 9, discloses <u>DSC 16</u>. Therefore, the drawing and the specification are conflict. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sonetaka (US 6,591,107).

Regarding claim 1, Sonetaka teaches a method of sharing supplemental channel resources (see Abstract, and see column 1, lines "service channel are reserved in

Art Unit: 2686

:)

advance") comprising the steps of: receiving a data notify request indicating a request for supplemental channel resources (see column 4, lines 43-51), and prospectively assigning currently unavailable supplemental channel resources to support a future supplemental channel for a user associated with the received data notify request if the data notify request was received during an open assignment state during which the currently unavailable supplemental channel resources are available for prospective assignment (column 4, lines 62-65 and see column 7, line 65 to column 8, line 2).

Regarding claim 2, Sonetaka further teaches determining whether other supplemental channel resources are available if the data notify request was not received during the open assignment state (see column 4, lines 43-51).

Regarding claim 3, Sonetaka further teaches assigning the other supplemental channel resources to the user if the other supplemental channel resources are available (see column 1, lines 54-63).

Regarding claims 4 and 6, Sonetaka further teaches scheduling a next data notify request to be issued for the user in a next preferred user assignment window associated with the other supplemental channel resources, the preferred user assignment window corresponding to a time period during which a current user may be scheduled to issue a data notify request (see column 4, lines 29-34).

Regarding claim 5, Sonetaka further teaches determining whether the currently unavailable supplemental channel resources are in an open waiting state during which the currently unavailable supplemental channel resources are not available for prospective assignment (see column 7, line 65 to column 8, line 2).

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claim 7, Sonetaka further teaches scheduling a next data notify request for the user to be issued if the currently unavailable supplemental channel resources are not in the open waiting state (see column 8, lines 38-44).

Regarding claim 8, Sonetaka further teaches determining whether the user is a current user of supplemental channel resources (see column 1, lines 48-53).

Regarding claim 9, Sonetaka further teaches reducing a continuation count for the user if the user is a current user and scheduling when to issue a next data notify request for the user based on the continuation count (see column 4, lines 43-51).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2686

17

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sonetaka (US 6,591,107) in view of Vanderspool, II et al (US 5,261,118).

Regarding claim 10, Sonetaka teaches the step of prospectively assigning the currently unavailable supplemental channel resources (see Abstract and see column 4, lines 62-65).

Sonetaka does not specifically disclose determining if a first data rate is different from a second data rate, the first data rate being associated with the currently unavailable supplemental channel resources, the second data rate being associated with the future supplemental channel.

Vanderspool teaches determining if a first data rate is different from a second data rate, the first data rate being associated with the currently unavailable supplemental channel resources, the second data rate being associated with the future supplemental channel (see column 10, lines 44-51).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Vanderspool into the system of Sonetaka in order to provide time synchronization of data transmissions generated from the transmission stations.

Art Unit: 2686

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 04/05/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 5 of applicant's remarks, applicant argues that Sonetaka does not teach prospectively assigning supplemental channel resources which are current currently unavailable for any type of traffic and data notify request was received during an open assignment state.

In response, Sonetaka indeed teaches prospectively assigning supplemental channel resources (see Sonetaka, column 1, lines 60-61, "assigning channel preserved in advance") which are current currently unavailable for any type of traffic (see Sonetaka, Abstract, "The method makes it possible to assign radio-signal channel to traffic having a high service rank, even if all radio-signal channels are all occupied by traffics" or see column 1, lines 51-53, "even all radio-signal channels are all occupied by traffics") and data notify request was received during an open assignment state (see Sonetaka, column 4, lines 44-45, "On receipt of the request for assigning radio-signal channel to the subscriber station". That is, the moment the request is received reads on Applicant's "open assignment state").

For the above reasons, the examiner contends that the rejections to claims are proper.

Art Unit: 2686

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi H. Ly whose telephone number is (703) 605-5164. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5:30 pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (703) 305-4379. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nghi H. Ly

WW6 86/24/84

CHARLES APPIAH PRIMARY EXAMINER